IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 631 of 2005

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AKIL KURESHI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : YES

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

HIRABEN PUNABHAI PATELIYA

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT THRO' THE ADDL. CHIEF

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 631 of 2005

MR VD PARGHI for Petitioner No. 1

MR SP HASURKAR, AGP for Respondent No. 1-2

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AKIL KURESHI

Date of decision: 28/04/2005

ORAL JUDGEMENT

RULE. Mr.Hasurkar, learned AGP, waives service of notice of rule on behalf of the respondents. At the joint request of the learned advocates appearing for the

parties, the petition is taken up for final disposal today.

The case of the petitioner is that she is engaged on daily wage basis as "Typist" in the office of District Forest Officer, Devgadh Baria, District-Dahod, since 1997. She is being given work on substantial number of days every year. She had approached this Court earlier by filing Special Civil Application No.2078/2004, which was disposed of permitting the petitioner to make a representation and directing the respondents to dispose of the same. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner made such a representation to the respondents on 24-3-2004 (which is produced at Annexure- E to the petition). He submits that this representation is not yet disposed of and in the meantime, the petitioner is also not given regular work and salary. It will be open for the petitioner to make an additional representation bringing on record subsequent developments in such a representation. It is directed that the respondents shall consider and dispose of the representations of the petitioner preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

With these directions, the petition is disposed of. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.

(AKIL KURESHI, J.)
/malek